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Abstract

Translation is a tool of knowledge transferring an original language to another language. In other words, it is the process of converting the written word from one language into another language in a way that is culturally and linguistically appropriate so it can be understood. There are, however, challenges in translation which include knowing about a variety of features such as the language structure which differs between languages. The combination between the meaning and the written words in the original text has to be interpreted carefully in order to be translated accurately. Unfortunately, some translated works do not comply with the original one. Therefore, to address the problem above, the research attempts to highlight two types of critical translations in the academia: The Scriptures and the Traditional Arabic books through analytic and critical approaches. This paper focuses on an analytical study on some translations from the Bible and Arabic Traditional books with attempt to review and revisit some issues which are related to religion and beliefs as a starting point to the dilemma of translation.
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Introduction

Translation is considered a way of learning a foreign language by reading its text. However, the theory of translation should be able to describe and explain the process as well as the output. Translation theory recognizes that different languages encode meaning in differing forms, yet guides translators to find appropriate ways of preserving meaning, while using the most appropriate forms of each language. Nevertheless, the precise translation would be the best information reveal to academia world in promoting the genuine result of scholarly sources.

In translation there are two different written languages called the original written text in the original language and a written text in another language. However, to illustrate the translation activity we have to look into its history and development. According to scholars it can be divided into four historical epochs on translation approaches: Translation in Antiquity, Translation in Middle ages, Translation in Renaissance and Translation in Modern Times. The earliest translation in antiquity happened in 1520 B.C. during the time when the connection between the Kingdom of Egypt and Canaanite occurred. The language used was the language of Canaanite or called “Keftiu” while hundreds of words from Canaanite were translated into the Kingdom’s documents and laboriously transcribed by Egyptian scribes into hieroglyphics in the fashion as syllabic orthography. It was mentioned that the ideas of Greek-thinking transferred into other languages, Charles E. Butterworth in his book highlighted the statement by saying:

Aristotle’s writing found a much more receptive audience on the other side of the Mediterranean as learning on his writings flourished in Constantinople, Edessa and Antioch. When the School of Alexandria was forced to close, it moved to Antioch in Syria. In the 6th century, many of Aristotle’s writings had been translated into Syriac. This activity continued until some of Syriac translations were rendered into Arabic. In the 10th century, the school moved to Baghdad.

---
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This statement has been proved by Ahmad Amin when he introduced a number of specialised translated Greek philosophy and science within the Islamic world such as Hunain bin Ishak, Yahya bin Bitriq and Ibn al-Muqaffa.\(^5\) Translation of Greek philosophy has gone through a process of serious endeavours when the translation from Arabic into Hebrew occurred in the time of Islamic Spain and then into Latin in the middle of the 12th century. Prior to this, the writings of Aristotle were unknown in Western regions. In the East, they had been studied and commented by al-Kindi, al-Farabi and Ibn Sina, then in the beginning of 13th century followed by Averroes. Even after the Latin conquest of Constantinople in 1204 AD and the discovery of new Greek manuscripts, the most complete translations of Aristotle’s works were still those done from Arabic.\(^6\) As it is, the transferred language from the original text of the scriptures called the canonical of Four Scriptural Books: Luke, Matthew, Mark and John are still in question; including the inimitability of the Quran.

The Problems in English Translation of Traditional Sources

Translation is a vital instrument to disseminate knowledge and serve as a platform in the academic world. The translated knowledge is transferred into different ways either via writing instruments or verbal communication.\(^9\) Both are recognized modes in the academic world. However, the most ideal form of writing is in the form of a “book.” Consider how you would feel if the book you are currently reading contained concealed untruths or inadvertent errors. The researcher believes that information must be transferred from one form to another with sincerity in order to advance the truth. However, what anxiety would be facing if a translator discusses issues but is incapable of adequately expressing the ideas contained in the original text? Inadequate ability to transfer true knowledge to future generations is disastrous and tragic, resulting in the academic world retaining half-baked knowledge. Translators play a critical role in the dissemination of knowledge. In general, Islam's traditional books or sources were well-written in classic Arabic. Inability to obtain information directly from classic Arabic sources has dire consequences, as the translated text may not be consistent with the original sources. This is not to diminish the importance and contribution of translation sources to the transmission of classical knowledge. Many sources, whether in classical or standard Arabic, or in other classical languages such as Greek and Latin, would be lost to future generations without translation. However, for translation to be useful, it must be of a satisfactory standard. The most common flaw in translation is an inability to make appropriate accommodations for the language used in the translation while remaining faithful to the text’s contents. Language and content are distinct concerns. It is possible that the language used in the translation is excellent, but the content is unsatisfactory and unreliable, as demonstrated by the translation of a book book “Sirah an-Nabawiyyah” by Ibn Ishaq\(^10\) into English by Alfred Guillame on the topic ‘The life of Muhammad’.\(^11\) The language used is excellent but the translator has twisted the facts as mentioned by Abdul Latif Tibawi\(^12\) on ‘The Beginning of Christianity in Najran’\(^13\) preceded by a paragraph on the reign of Dhu Nuwas. Here خير للذين ماتوا من العرب is translated as ‘the last of Yamani Kings,’ and في بعض الأماكن which in this context clearly refers to Faymiyun, and not to both him and his admirer Salih, which was translated as ‘they buried him’\(^14\) which should be ‘he buried him. For the phrase آنام somewhere in Syria but Professor Guillame has translated ‘through Syria’; for example حضر أرض العرب he has translated ‘the land of the Arabs’; and for كتب في بعض الأماكن he has simply
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translating ‘a caravan’. In another section, ‘The Affair of the B. Qaynuqa’, the word ‘affair’ was used wrongly as ‘attack’ in the table of contents. Tibawi suggested the word muhāsara‘ siege’, which is more accurate than ‘attack’.

21 The mistranslation also mentioned in quoting al-Zuhri, the expression used by Ibn Ishaq is za’ama al-Zuhri which means in this context ‘al-Zuhri said on the authority of Sa’id b. Musayyab.’ However, Professor Guillaume translated ‘al-Zuhri alleged as from Sa’id …’ he dogmatically states in the footnote that ‘the verb implies grave doubt as to the speaker’s veracity.

Another case in point was the translation of a book written by al-Anbari “al-Insaf Fi Masaili al-Khilaf” by Gotham Weil into German. There is a factual error in his introduction where his translation gave the impression that the basic idea in “al-Insaf Fi Masaili al-Khilaf” stated that the Kufan School was not established by the Kufans. His evidence was that all the controversial issues among the grammarians especially between Kisa’i, Fara, Khalil and Sibawayh were traced to their famous teacher Yunus bin Habib the Basran. His arguments on the evidences mentioned by al-Anbari in the book indicated that the Kufans followed the Basrans. In fact, he only mentioned not more than four times out of one hundred and twenty-one cases.

In other cases, the critiques on the Arab genealogy were flawed. The Arabs can be divided into three major groups; namely the ‘lost Arabs’ (al-arab al-ba’idah), second the ‘true Arabs’ (al-arab al-aribah) and third the Arabized Arabs (al-arab al-musta’rabah). Evidences of these divisions are recorded in Ibn Ishaq’s and Ibn Hisham’s Biography of the Prophet (al-Sirah al-Nabawiyyah) and Tabari’s History of the Communities and Kingdoms (Tarih al-Umam wa al-Muluk). Most of the evidences were narrated by prominent scholars, collectors and biographers such as ‘Abd al-Salam al-Jumahi, Ibn Nadim, Ibn Ishaq, Hassan bin Thabit and etc. However, the Orientalist claims that other scholars such as ‘Abd al-Salam al-Jumahi (a critic of early poetry) disagreed with Ibn Ishaq that poems by Ad and Thamud proved the existence of the Arabs as a people before the time of Prophet Ibrahim (PBUH). The biographer Ibn Nadim considered the verses cited by Ibn Ishaq as fraudulent and not genuine. It is a well-established fact that the corpus of ancient Arabic poetry had suffered a lot at the hands of forgers, plagiarists, misguided philologists, and dishonest narrators. For instance, a number of poems were falsely ascribed to Hassan bin Thabit, the Prophet’s poet. In fact, early modern Western scholarship has studied the history of the Arab people prior to Islam, as evidenced by Caussin de Perceval’s Historie des Arabes avant Islamisme (1848) which took its interested readers back to the forebears of the Muslim tribes in Arabia. Another interesting work on Arab antiquity is Muller’s Realencyklopadie der Altertumswissenschaften (1896). Even though the information contained therein has to be considered outdated, it remains a useful source for the study of the classical texts on Arabia. An attempt at compiling a complete survey of Arabs before Islam was written by D.O’Leary (1927) which is noteworthy for its inclusion of the city cultures which existed in ancient Yemen. Montgomery (1934) wrote his history of Arabia from an exclusive Old Testamentary viewpoint. Unfortunately, the study of pre-Islamic Arabia conducted by Western scholars has suffered much from the point of its desired objectivity as it was done within such a narrow framework. This situation had occurred because the
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orientalists had researched well in the field but they were not detached from their needs and intentions. Regrettably, it did not fully reflect the Muslim World.

The enlightenment period of Europe circa eighteenth and nineteenth centuries realized the rise of critical biblical scholarship and the development of source critics. In text critical investigation reveals apparently some obstacles and inconsistencies of the story flow. As mentioned in Genesis 37:18-36 on “The Sale of Joseph,” there are some aspects of the text that appear strange such as repetitions, awkward transitions, apparent gaps, however, these idiomatic expressions could plausibly be attributed to the authorial style. Such inverse 18 “they conspired to kill him” in contrast with verse 22 which is in dialogue phrase “let us kill him” another contradicting point is in verse 22 “Cast him into the pit that is instead of killing him with our hands” again the contradicting point appears in verse 27 “let us not do away with him ourselves literally, let our hands not be against him.” Frankly, the interpretation and story flow of the occasions above presently challenges reader’s mind. Even the discussion on either the Ishmaelites or Midianites (originated from Abraham & Qaturah’s descendants) selling Joseph and the contradictions were developed in presenting the different names appeared as remarked in verse 28 “Then Midianite traders came along and they pulled Joseph up, brought him out of the pit and they sold Joseph for 20 dollars to the Ishmaelites, and they abducted Joseph to Egypt” otherwise in verse 36 “The Midianites sold him in Egypt to Potiphar, a courtier of Pharoah, the captain of Royal Guard.” However, in the book of Jubilees chapter 34:11, “the Midianites and the abduction of Joseph are removed from the story entirely; Joseph’s brother sold him to the Ishmaelites, who brought him to Egypt and sold him to Potiphar” has been eliminated from the book itself even its mentioned in the Testament of Joseph that Joseph is sold by his brothers to the Ishmaelites. To reconcile the above issue, Rashbam brought the evidences in Genesis itself by saying that Ishmaelites and Medanites were the same people. His interpretation of the genealogy noted based on Genesis 25: 2 “that Medan and Midian were like Ishmael sons of Abraham from Qaturah, the third wife. A similar approach by Abraham Ibn Ezra to resolve the story issue by quoting that that Ismaelites and the Midianites were the same group in Genesis 37: 28 “Then Midianites traders came along, and they pulled Joseph up, brought him out of the pit. And they sold Joseph for 20 dollars to Ishmaelites, and they abducted Joseph to Egypt.” To him, Scriptures refers to the Ishmaelites as Midianites because the Midianites are called Ishmaelites as mentioned” by the book of Judges 8:23-24 “But Gideon said to them “I will not rule over you, neither will my son rule over you, the Lord shall rule over you, but rather I will request that every man give me the earrings of the captives (which they had golden earrings because the men had been Ishmaelites).” To be informed that the kings of Midian defeated by Gideon are said to have worn earrings because they were Ishmaelites. The documentary hypothesis based on discussions above is the authorial style. Either the predominance of views to each other are connected or not is obviously, the awkward transition of the story in the verses and its repetitions as a result of without authors and redactors coordination. As remarked by Karen Armstrong “Our authors are not interested in historical accuracy” by giving example that “the authors of Genesis do not provide any historical information about life in Palestine during the second millennium BCE. In fact, as have shown, scholars knew nothing about the period. Frequently, they made mistakes, referring to the presence of the Philistines who did not arrive in the country until long after this early biblical era.” Without hesitation, Armstrong reminds us that the Psychoanalysis began as a predominantly Jewish discipline and the authors of ancient Israel had already begun to explore the uncharted realm of the human mind and heart.

To examine briefly in terms of which civilization had existed earlier than the others a quick review would provide some rough indicators. It is known that Hebrew was not the original language of the Torah and it came very much later than the language of ancient Iraq. The written Torah is said to have
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been produced in the centuries between sixth \(6^{th}\) or fifth \(5^{th}\) century BC. Currently, scriptures or Arabic traditional books are facing inconsistencies with information in translation and this creates inaccuracy and a lot of confusion.

**The Inimitability of the Quran as a Sample of Stylistic Translation**

The relationship between syntax and semantics has not been effectively clarified within the framework of traditional Arabic grammatical theory until the existence of the theory of Nadim introduced by al-Jurjani.\(^{46}\) The purpose of the theory is to differentiate between meaning and form in a sentence. The combination of grammar and literary theory in the Quran is to merge precisely the translation and stylistics in illustrating the meaning of inimitability. It means the production of eloquence or fas\(\text{Ehah}\) is not dependent on single words only in producing a meaning. However, in his major contribution to the discussion about the “\(\text{i}j\)az al-Qur’an he concentrates on ma’an (meaning) and lafz (expression). Both concepts have been subjected to debate between logicians and grammarians. According to logicians, the meanings are the logical ideas that are signified by the expressions. The grammarians, on the other hand, concentrate on the functions of the words. For example, ma’n\(\text{a}\) is determined by the quality of style and it would be absurd to attribute qualities of eloquence to the expression as quoted below:

Know that whenever you look into this (corruption of taste and language) you find that it has only one cause, namely their view about the expression and the fact that they assign to the expression attributes (…) without properly distinguishing between those attributes that they assign to them because of something that belongs to its meaning.\(^{47}\)

Al-Jurjani maintains that there is a large semantic difference between the two sentences: the verb always expresses movement, whereas the nominal form of the participle a state:

The next division (in the nuances of the predicate) is that between an assertion in the form of a noun and that in the form of a verb. This is a subtle distinction, which is indispensable in the science of rhetoric. The explanation is that the semantic role of the noun is to assert a meaning about something without implying its constant renewal, whereas it is the verb’s semantic role to imply the constant renewal of the meaning that is asserted of something. When you say Zaydun mun\(\text{toliqun}\) “Zayd is leaving,” you assert his actual departure without making this departing something he constantly renews and produces. Its meaning is just like in the expression Zaydun tawilun “Zayd is tall” and “Amrun qasirun “Amr is short.” You do not make length and shortness of stature something that is renewed and produced, but just assert these properties and imply their existence in general. In the same way you do not intend in the expression Zaydun mun\(\text{toliqun}\) “Zayd is leaving” anything more than that this is asserted of Zayd.\(^{48}\)

The idea of semantic as forwarded by Jurjani was taken up by as-Sakkaki (d.1229M) the author of Mift\(\text{ah al-Ulum}\) “key of the sciences,” in which he introduced the term “\(\text{ilm}\) al-adab as the name for a new science, which was to embrace all sciences that in one way or another dealt with language. He divided it into three aspects \(\text{ilm}\) sarf, \(\text{ilm}\) nahw and \(\text{ilm}\) bayan. The innovation is constituted by the third section that is about meanings and clarity. He explained the importance of these as follows: -

Know that the science of meanings follows the properties of the constructions of the language in conveying information, and the connected problem of approving and disapproving these, in order to avoid mistakes in the application of speech to what the situation dictates by paying close attention to this\(^{49}\)

\(\text{ilm}\) bayan is expressing meanings in different ways or a technique used to avoid mistakes in the application of speech to the fully intended expression. This implies that the science of bayan is the final touch to the conveying of information and cannot be separated from the science of meaning. In other
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words, the discussion on the introduction of semantic elements needs to be related to the technicality of grammatical aspects.

To illustrate the above concept in the Quran, the research highlights some moral values which are mentioned in the story of The Big Flood of Noah (PBUH). The linguistic approaches are to combine both meaning and eloquence, in order to produce precise translation of the stylistic verses. We can notice that the moral values in the Big Flood is the backbone of the discussion of the story. The moral values could be divided into the acknowledgement of God as the creator (the primary target in life), kindness to man, animals, plants etc. This comprehensive meaning comprising the righteousness, good character and moral values are prescribed in the Islamic Principles Standard. Quoting here is a Surah al-Ankabut verse 14-15. Surah Hud verse 25 and Surah Noah verse 1 beginning with the word *نوح* which is a direct speech from the above without any intermediate in the past tense. The word *أرسلنا* itself provides a vast imagination of the unlimited supreme power which means only God is absolute and no one can challenge Him. Next comes the word *فالجينا* which again shows supremacy of power. This word also means the indication of genuine righteousness and justice. In Surah Hud verse 26, the use of *لا* then called *التوقيت الكلاسيدي* or so-called article of exclusion semantically imposes to strengthen the meaning of the whole expression as the only God. Then He is mentioned as a warning for those who do not obey Him. This strong introduction and high moral righteousness inform us that there is a super power above.

In verse 29, the character of Nouh (PBUH) mentions he is the messenger of God by using the sentence *ويا قوم لا أسألك عليهم بالله* which includes and not include or imperative word applied. Verse 38, word *فأرجونه* is a direct speech from the above without any intermediate in the past tense. The word *أرسلنا* to avoid mistakes in the application of speech to the full expression. So, the importance of meaning can be easily demonstrated by way of relating it to the syntactical rules of declension and agency in respect to the short-vowel endings, which is affected by the governors, especially both figurative governors.

In strengthening the concept of expression in verses, the research focuses on the linguistic style which relies on some remarks on the system which shows that the concept of meaning is powerful via the concept of "irab and amil. For example, the occupation case which means that a noun precedes on a verb governs its pronoun, e.g. the Qur’anic verse: *والقُلُوبُ ثَلَالَةٌ ثَلَالَةً* (An-Nāz`ījāt 30). The recitation of the noun al-ard ‘earth’ is done in two ways. The accusative case al-arda as a governor (object) of an eliminated governor (a verb) explained by the mentioned verb dahā spread’, i.e. wa dāhā al-arda ba’da thālika dahāhā, and it is not possible that the mentioned verb dahā can be the governor, because it is connected with the governor i.e. the pronoun of absent which is returning to the noun al-ard. This is according to a syntactical rule which says: “There is no governor for both pronoun and its apparent.”50 Otherwise, there is the nominative case al-ardu which is nominated by a moral governor i.e. meaning of primacy, because it is a primate. The difference in meaning of these two cases determined by the repetition of verb dahā even the repetition is a figurative repetition, thus, the accusative case is more confirmative than the nominative case.51

Based on the discussion above, the semantic technically relies on the syntactical aspects of language, as mentioned by al-Jurjani and as-Sakkākī both who defines semantics and rhetoric as a full expression concept of knowledge - the knowledge of the expression of one meaning in different ways which serves to avoid mistakes in the application of speech to the full expression. So, the importance of meaning can be easily demonstrated by way of relating it to the syntactical rules of declension and agency in respect to the short-vowel endings, which is affected by the governors, especially both figurative governors.

mentioned above: moral governor or oral governor. Thus, the inimitability of the language of the Quran merges between the two parties in meaning and eloquence. The excellent combination of both theories produces the superlative interpretation as well as the translation.

Encountering the Predicament of Translation

The greatest task confronting the Muslim Ummah is to establish an Islamic curriculum. The present dualism in Muslim education is marked by a bifurcation of an Islamic and secular system. Both of these systems must be integrated with the philosophy from established Islamic sources which provides as the main platform. The Islamic institution which comprises elementary, secondary, college and universities must focus on Tawhid by introducing the Quranic way of life without ignoring the contemporary subjects. The notion that Islamic schools merely serve for the teaching of memorization of Qur’an and teaching religious subjects such as Hadith, Fiqh, Tawhid etc is a misconception that should be corrected. Hence, the stereotypical style of teaching in religious studies should be modified creatively. To uphold a more refined system, the contemporary study of Islamic civilization is a vital project.52

The Islamic Institution should be well preserved and prepared for micro teaching of blue print on Kitab Turath (traditional books) without ignoring the modern system that could be merged. How can we prepare for the best teaching of Kitab Turat’s blue print? The understanding of the term ‘epistemology’ must be focused and on the derivation of the term itself. There must be a major consideration with regards to persistent changes of the morphological system in the sentences of Islamic sources. It must be regarded as an important aspect to look into. The great Islamic scholars not only having the general principles of knowledge as their benchmark but the ability to expand the ideas via critical thinking supported by their strong Islamic identity. Morphology and syntax cannot be separated. Both of these language concepts have to be merged together to become one. As a result in the above case, the synthesis of philosophical grammar and structural linguistics will begin to refute the behavioural sciences which is not “sciences of mind.” Language is the “mirror of the mind” which constructs data with innate properties.53 The intellectual process of connection with the subject and predicate, either direct or indirect, has to deal with the phrase structure arrangement of Immediate Constituents (IC) so called the concept of cohesion by al-Jurjānī. The concept relies on meaning construction and as the determining factor in the quality of a text to a linguist by considering it not in isolation but always within a coherent text composition or cohesive unit. Al-Jurjani defines this principle in purely linguistic terms,54 as mentioned: “The purpose of cohesive speech is not the sequence of words, but meaning significance has to be harmonized as what the mind supposes it to be.”55

If we analyse some Quranic verses in Arabic and not the translation, the example would be: māliki yawmi-dīn ‘The Only Owner and the Only Ruling Judge of the Day of Recompense’. (Al-Fātiha 4) Three of the recitations saved the structure of the word mālik ‘owner’, and determined the semantic changes according to the difference between the syntactical governors.56 The first recitation is in genitive case as a qualitative of the majestic noun Allāh ‘God’ in a previous verse, so it is pronounced māliki as a governor of the particle of genitive li ‘to’ used which is the governor of the majestic noun Allāh. Grammarians say: “The governor of the qualitative is the governor of the qualified.”57 thus, the meaning in this case is to qualify God as The Only Owner and the Only Ruling Judge of the Day of Recompense, and the governor is oral. Another recitation is in the nominative case mālikū as a predicate, so the governor here is moral i.e. the meaning of primacy, as a popular syntactical opinion among grammarians58 and the meaning is to inform that God is The Only Owner and the Only Ruling Judge of the Day of Recompense. The pronounced mālik in the accusative case is the third recitation. The word mālik in this case is an actor, and its governor is oral; it is the eliminated particle of calling yā, thus the speech in this case relates to the next verse to give the meaning of calling God to tell that He Alone we worship, and He Alone we ask for help for each and everything.59

56 The Linguistic Philosophy Epistemology exercised by Noam Chomsky in Government Binding Theory.
58 Ibid., 1:40.
In the above, the governees are examples of nouns, but in the second example, the governor is a verb, as mentioned in verse: lilāhī mà fīssāmāwātī wa mà fil'ārdī wa'in tubdō mà fī anfusikum aw tukhfūhu yuḥāsibkum bihilīfāhu fiyayghihrū liman yashā'u wa yu'athībīdī man yashā'u wallahu alā kulli sha'īn Qādir ‘To God belongs all that is in the Heavens and all that is on the earth, and whether you disclose what is in your own selves or conceal it, God will call you to account for it. Then He forgives whom He wills and punishes whom He wills, and God is able to do all things’ (Al-Baqarah 284). There are three recitations of both of the two verbs: yaghfir ‘forgives’ and yu’athīb ‘punishes’, with attention to the second verb yu’athībī which is attached to the first verb yaghfir. So the governor of the first verb is the governor of the second verb in each recitation. The famous recitation is by nominating the two verbs, the verb yaghfir is nominated for the meaning of resumption, and the governor is moral; it is the denomination of accusative and apocopative particles. Semantically, this nominative recitation means that the forgiveness and punishment are not parts of the accounting by God, i.e. the forgiveness, punishment and accounting are different acts of God. Also the accusative recitation yaghfīra gives the meaning of nominative recitation, but here the governor of the first verb is oral, it is the eliminated particle of accusative an. The third recitation is the apocopative case yaghfīr as governee of the particle of conditional in, because it is attracted to the answer of the condition yuḥāsīb ‘accounts’, so the governor here is oral, and semantically, the meaning is that the forgiveness and punishment are parts of the accounting by God, i.e. the forgiveness and punishment are the accounting of God.80

The above explicitly demonstrates that syntax influences the relation between the words of a given phrase, and this explanation is specifically focused on analysing the function of case endings in a sentence in Arabic. Without strong grounding in syntax, morphology and semantic the philosophy of linguistic could not elaborate on the intricacies involved and the interpretation would only be in the form of “general principle of the knowledge.” And we notice that relying on this kind of surface interpretation without the benefit of deep structure analysis would result in generalization that would pose as serious impediment to the Islamization of knowledge enterprise.

Conclusion

The translation process must contain several qualities in dealing with written text. The language ability either from the original text or the written text must be outstanding unless the knowledge transferred from one to another is imprecise and not accurate. The unclear explanation effects to the understanding of the issue discussed. The examples mentioned above are some cases or issues in translation which should be considered as a starting point of problems in translation. The misinterpretation of the information or the twisted meaning on certain words or sentences from the original language could be disastrous to the next generation. This kind of misleading information will shift the way of thinking in academia into a bias position. Thus, translation is an important tool to transfer the knowledge from past to present with the quality style of presentation as well as the language used. To suggest the review of the major sources by the credential scholars from the Islamic world is a vital implementation. We are of the conviction that without mastering Arabic the Islamic sources of knowledge would not be fully deployed as in order to transcend to its pristine essence systematic, appropriate and accurate knowledge.
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